# Boxdot and Star Products on Interval - Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs

# **Tintumol Sunny, Sr. Magie Jose**

Abstract: In this paper, Boxdot product and Star product on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs has been introduced and degree of vertices of these new product graphs are determined. Some results involving these products are stated and proved.

Keywords: Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph, Strong interval - valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph, Boxdot product, Star product, degree of vertices.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh[7] in 1965 for defining uncertainty. In 1975, Zadeh[8] introduced the notion of interval-valued fuzzy sets as an extension of fuzzy sets, in which the values of the membership degrees are intervals of numbers instead of the numbers. In 1986, Atanassov introduced Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets [4] which provides the opportunity to model the problem precisely based on the existing information and observations. After three years Atanassov and Gargov[5] proposed Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy set (IVIFS) which is helpful to model the problem more accurately. The fuzzy graph theory was first introduced by Rosenfeld [10] in 1975. Yeh and Bang [12] also introduced fuzzy graphs independently. Fuzzy graphs are useful to represent relationships which deal with uncertainty. It has numerous applications to problems in various fields. Interval-Valued Fuzzy Graphs (IVFG) are defined by Akram and Dudek[2] in 2011. Atanassov[6] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy Intuitionistic Fuzzy relations and Graph (IFG). ShovanDogra [11] introduced different types of products of fuzzy graphs. S.N.Mishra and A.Pal[9] introduced the product of interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph. Akram and BijanDavvaz[1] introduced Strong Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs (SIFG). The notions of Strong Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs (SIVIFG) are introduced by A.MohamedIsmayil and A.Mohamed Ali [3]. This paper has been organized as follows. Preliminaries required for this study are given in section 2. In section 3 and 4, Boxdot and Star product on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs has been defined and some of its properties are discussed.

#### Revised Manuscript Received on May 07, 2019.

Tintumol Sunny, Research Scholar, Marian Research centre, St. Mary's CollegeThrissur, Kerala, India-680020

Sr. Magie Jose, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, St.Mary's CollegeThrissur, Kerala, India-680020

# **II. PRELIMINARIES**

**Definition 2.1**[10]A fuzzy graphG is a pair of functions  $G = (\sigma, \mu)$  where  $\sigma$  is a fuzzy subset of a non-empty set V and  $\mu$  is a symmetric fuzzy relation on  $\sigma$ . The underlying crisp graph of  $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ is denoted by  $G^* = (V, E)$  where  $E \subseteq V \times V$ .

Let D[0,1] be the set of all closed subintervals of the interval [0, 1]. If  $M \in D[0,1]$  then it can be represented as  $M = [M_L, M_U]$ , where  $M_L$  and  $M_U$  are the lower and upper limits of *M*.

**Definition** 2.2[6]Anintuitionistic fuzzy graph with underlying set V is defined to be a pair  $G = (\sigma, \mu)$  where

the functions  $M_{\sigma}: V \to D[0, 1]$  and  $N_{\sigma}: V \rightarrow$ 1. D[0,1] denote the degree of membership and non membership of the element  $x \in V$ , respectively, such that  $0 \le M_{\sigma}(x) + N_{\sigma}(x) \le 1$  for all  $x \in V$ .

2. the functions  $M_{\mu}: E \subseteq V \times V \to D[0, 1]$  and

 $N_{\mu}: E \subseteq V \times V \to D[0, 1]$  are defined by

 $M_{\mu}((x, y)) \leq \min(M_{\sigma}(x), M_{\sigma}(y))$  and

 $N_{\mu}((x, y)) \ge max(N_{\sigma}(x), N_{\sigma}(y))$  such that

 $0 \le M_{\mu}((x, y)) + N_{\mu}((x, y)) \le 1, \forall (x, y) \in E.$ 

**Definition 2.3** [1]An intuitionistic fuzzy graph  $G = (\sigma, \mu)$  is called strong intuitionistic fuzzy graph if  $M_{\mu}((x, y)) =$  $min(M_{\sigma}(x), M_{\sigma}(y))$  and  $N_{\mu}((x, y)) = max(N_{\sigma}(x), N_{\sigma}(y))$ ,  $\forall (x, y) \in E.$ 

**Definition 2.4**[2]An interval - valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph with underlying set V is defined to be a pair G = $(\sigma, \mu)$  where,

 $M_{\sigma}: V \to D[0, 1]$  and  $N_{\sigma}: V \rightarrow$ the functions 1. D[0,1] denote the degree of membership and non membership of the element  $x \in V$ , respectively, such that  $0 \leq M_{\sigma}(x) + N_{\sigma}(x) \leq 1, \forall x \in V.$ 

the functions  $M_{\mu}: E \subseteq V \times V \to D[0, 1]$  and  $N_{\mu}: E \subseteq V$ 2.  $V \times V \rightarrow D[0, 1]$  are defined by

 $M_{\mu L}((x, y)) \le \min(M_{\sigma L}(x), M_{\sigma L}(y))$ 

 $N_{\mu L}((x, y)) \ge max(N_{\sigma L}(x), N_{\sigma L}(y))$ 

intuitionistic fuzzy graph if

Published By:

& Sciences Publication

 $M_{\mu U}((x, y)) \leq min(M_{\sigma U}(x), M_{\sigma U}(y)) \text{ and } N_{\mu U}((x, y)) \geq$  $max(N_{\sigma U}(x), N_{\sigma U}(y))$  such that

 $0 \le M_{\mu U}((x, y)) + N_{\mu U}((x, y)) \le 1, \quad \forall (x, y) \in E.$ Definition 2.5[3]An interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph $G = (\sigma, \mu)$  is called strong interval valued



# Boxdot and Star Products on Interval - Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graphs

$$M_{\mu L}((x, y)) = min(M_{\sigma L}(x), \qquad M_{\sigma L}(y))$$
$$N_{\mu L}((x, y)) = max(N_{\sigma L}(x), N_{\sigma L}(y))$$
$$M_{\mu U}((x, y)) = min(M_{\sigma U}(x), M_{\sigma U}(y))$$
$$N_{\mu U}((x, y)) = max(N_{\sigma U}(x), N_{\sigma U}(y)), \qquad \forall (x, y) \in E$$

**Definition** 2.6[9]Let  $G = (\sigma, \mu)$  be an interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph. For any vertex  $x \in V$ , degree of x is defined as an ordered pair the vertex  $(d_{c}^{-}(x), d_{c}^{+}(x))$  where

$$d_{G}^{-}(x) = \sum_{y \in V: xy \in E} M_{\mu L}((x, y)) - \sum_{y \in V: xy \in E} N_{\mu L}((x, y))$$

and

$$d_G^+(x) = \sum_{y \in V: xy \in E} M_{\mu U}((x, y)) - \sum_{y \in V: xy \in E} N_{\mu U}((x, y))$$

**Definition 2.7**Let  $\sigma_1 = ([M_{\sigma_1L}, M_{\sigma_1U}], [N_{\sigma_1L}, N_{\sigma_1U}])$  and  $\sigma_2 = \left( \begin{bmatrix} M_{\sigma_2 L}, \ M_{\sigma_2 U} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} N_{\sigma_2 L}, \ N_{\sigma_2 U} \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{be}$ interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $V_1(G_1)$  and  $V_2(G_2)$ respectively. Then  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$  if and only if

 $M_{\sigma_1 L}(x) \leq M_{\sigma_2 L}(y), M_{\sigma_1 U}(x) \leq M_{\sigma_2 U}(y)$ and  $N_{\sigma_1 L}(x) \ge N_{\sigma_2 L}(y)$ ,  $N_{\sigma_1 U}(x) \ge N_{\sigma_2 U}(y)$ ,  $\forall x \in V_1 \text{ and } \forall y \in V_2$ 

# **III. BOXDOT PRODUCT ON INTERVAL-VALUED** INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY GRAPHS

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively. Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ respectively. Then Boxdot product  $G_1 \boxdot G_2$  of the two strong interval -valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs  $G_1 =$  $(\sigma_1, \mu_1)$  and  $G_2 = (\sigma_2, \mu_2)$  is defined as a pair  $(\sigma_1 \boxdot \sigma_2, \mu_1 \boxdot$  $\mu 2$  where  $\sigma 1 \odot \sigma 2 = M \sigma 1 L \odot M \sigma 2 L$ , Μσ1υ⊡Μσ2υ,  $N\sigma 1L \square N\sigma 2L$ ,  $N\sigma 1U \square N\sigma 2U$  and  $\mu 1 \square \mu 2 = M\mu 1L \square M\mu 2L$ ,  $M\mu 1U \odot M\mu 2U,$ Nµ1L⊡Nµ2L,  $N\mu 1U \odot N\mu 2U$  are interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets on  $V = V_1 \boxdot V_2$  and  $E = E_1 \boxdot E_2 = \{(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) : x_1 = y_1, x_2y_2 \notin$ 

*E2 or x1y1 \in E1 \& x2y2 \notin E2* respectively, which satisfy the following

properties  $\left(M_{\sigma_1L} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2L}\right)(x, y) = \min\{M_{\sigma_1L}(x), M_{\sigma_2L}(y)\}$  $\left(M_{\sigma_1 U} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2 U}\right)(x, y) = \min\{M_{\sigma_1 U}(x), M_{\sigma_2 U}(y)\}$  $(N_{\sigma_1L} \boxdot N_{\sigma_2L})(x, y) = max\{N_{\sigma_1L}(x), N_{\sigma_2L}(y)\}$  $(N_{\sigma_1 U} \boxdot N_{\sigma_2 U})(x, y) = max\{N_{\sigma_1 U}(x), N_{\sigma_2 U}(y)\}$ 

$$\forall (x, y) \in V_1 \boxdot V_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1}L} \boxdot M_{\mu_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = min\{M_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2})\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1}U} \boxdot M_{\mu_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = min\{M_{\sigma_{1}U}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = max\{N_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2})\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = max\{N_{\sigma_{1}U}(x_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\} \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $x_1 = y_1 \in V_1$ ,  $x_2 y_2 \notin E_2$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1}L} \boxdot M_{\mu_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) = \\ min \left\{ M_{\mu_{1}L}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2}) \right\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1}U} \boxdot M_{\mu_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) = \\ min \left\{ M_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2}) \right\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) = \\ max \left\{ N_{\mu_{1}L}(x_{1}, y_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2}) \right\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) = \\ max \left\{ N_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2}) \right\} \end{pmatrix}$$

if  $x_1 y_1 \in E_1 \& x_2 y_2 \notin E_2$ 

**Proposition 3.1** If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, then the Boxdot Product of  $G_1$ and  $G_2$ ,  $G_1 \boxdot G_2$  is also a strong interval -valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs.

*Proof*: Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs.

Hence 
$$\forall x_i, y_i \in E_i, i = 1, 2$$
  

$$M_{\mu_i L}((x_i, y_i)) = min \left( M_{\sigma_i L}(x_i), M_{\sigma_i L}(y_i) \right) M_{\mu_i U}((x_i, y_i))$$

$$= min \left( M_{\sigma_i U}(x_i), M_{\sigma_i U}(y_i) \right)$$

$$N_{\mu_i L}((x_i, y_i)) = max \left( N_{\sigma_i L}(x_i), N_{\sigma_i L}(y_i) \right)$$

$$N_{\mu_i U}((x_i, y_i)) = max \left( N_{\sigma_i U}(x_i), N_{\sigma_i U}(y_i) \right)$$

Here

$$E = E_1 \boxdot E_2 = \begin{cases} (x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) \colon x_1 = y_1, x_2 y_2 \in E_2 \\ or \ x_1 y_1 \in E_1, x_2 y_2 \notin E_2 \end{cases}$$

Let  $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) \in E$ 

Published By:

& Sciences Publication

Case I: 
$$x_1 = y_1, x_2 y_2 \notin E_2$$
  
Consider  $(M_{\mu_1 L} \boxdot M_{\mu_2 L})((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2))$   
 $= \min\{M_{\sigma_1 L}(x_1), M_{\sigma_2 L}(x_2), M_{\sigma_2 L}(y_2)\}$   
 $= \min\{M_{\sigma_1 L}(x_1), M_{\sigma_1 L}(y_1), M_{\sigma_2 L}(x_2), M_{\sigma_2 L}(y_2)\}$ 

and



$$\min \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1L}} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1L}} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (y_1, y_2) \right\} \\ = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_1), & M_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_2) \}, \\ \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1L}}(y_1), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_2) \} \\ = \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_1), M_{\sigma_{1L}}(y_1), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_2), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_2) \} \\ \text{i.e.,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1L}} \boxdot M_{\mu_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} ((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)) \\ = \min \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1L}} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1L}} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (y_1, y_2) \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Similarly, it can be proved that

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_1 U} \boxdot M_{\mu_2 U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)) = min \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_1 U} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2 U} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_1 U} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2 U} \end{pmatrix} (y_1, y_2) \right\} \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_1 L} \boxdot N_{\mu_2 L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)) = max \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_1 L} \boxdot N_{\sigma_2 L} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_1 L} \boxdot N_{\sigma_2 L} \end{pmatrix} (y_1, y_2) \right\} \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_1 U} \boxdot N_{\mu_2 U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)) = max \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_1 U} \boxdot N_{\sigma_2 U} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_1 U} \boxdot N_{\sigma_2 U} \end{pmatrix} (y_1, y_2) \right\} Hence, in this case, G_1 \boxdot G_2 is a strong interval-valued.$$

Hence in this case  $G_1 \sqcup G_2$  is a strong intuitionistic fuzzy graph.

Case II: $x_1y_1 \in E_1 \& x_2y_2 \notin E_2$ 

Consider 
$$(M_{\mu_1L} \boxdot M_{\mu_2L})((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2))$$
  
 $= min \{ M_{\mu_1L}(x_1, y_1), M_{\sigma_2L}(x_2), M_{\sigma_2L}(y_2) \}$   
 $= min \{ min \{ M_{\sigma_1L}(x_1), M_{\sigma_1L}(y_1) \}, M_{\sigma_2L}(x_2), M_{\sigma_2L}(y_2) \}$   
 $= min \{ M_{\sigma_1L}(x_1), M_{\sigma_1L}(y_1), M_{\sigma_2L}(x_2), M_{\sigma_2L}(y_2) \}$   
and

and

$$\min \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1}L} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} (x_{1}, x_{2}), \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1}L} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\} \\ = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}), & M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}) \}, \\ \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1}L}(y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2}) \} \end{array} \right\} \\ = \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{1}L}(y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2}) \} \end{array}$$

i.e.,  $\left(M_{\mu_1L} \boxdot M_{\mu_2L}\right)\left((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)\right)$  $= \min\left\{ \left( M_{\sigma_1 L} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2 L} \right) (x_1, x_2), \left( M_{\sigma_1 L} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2 L} \right) (y_1, y_2) \right\}$ Similarly, it can be proved that

$$\begin{split} & \left( M_{\mu_{1}U} \boxdot M_{\mu_{2}U} \right) \left( (x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2}) \right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \left( M_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2}U} \right) (x_{1}, x_{2}), \left( M_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2}U} \right) (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\} \\ & \left( N_{\mu_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}L} \right) \left( (x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2}) \right) \\ &= \max \left\{ \left( N_{\sigma_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}L} \right) (x_{1}, x_{2}), \left( N_{\sigma_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}L} \right) (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\} \\ & \left( N_{\mu_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}U} \right) \left( (x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2}) \right) \\ &= \max \left\{ \left( N_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}U} \right) (x_{1}, x_{2}), \left( N_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}U} \right) (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\} \end{split}$$

Hencein this case  $also G_1 \boxdot G_2$  is a strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph.

Proposition 3.2 If  $G_1 \boxdot G_2$  is a strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph then  $atleastG_1$  or  $G_2$  must be strong.

*Proof*: Suppose that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs. So there exists  $x_i, y_i \in E_i$ , i =1, 2 such that

$$\begin{split} M_{\mu_{i}L}((x_{i}, y_{i})) &< \min \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{i}L}(x_{i}), \\ & M_{\sigma_{i}L}(y_{i}) \end{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{i}U}((x_{i}, y_{i})) \\ &< \min \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{i}U}(x_{i}), & M_{\sigma_{i}U}(y_{i}) \end{pmatrix} \\ & N_{\mu_{i}L}((x_{i}, y_{i})) > \max \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{i}L}(x_{i}), N_{\sigma_{i}L}(y_{i}) \end{pmatrix} \\ & N_{\mu_{i}U}((x_{i}, y_{i})) > \max \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{i}U}(x_{i}), N_{\sigma_{i}U}(y_{i}) \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Here

$$E = E_{1} \boxdot E_{2} = \begin{cases} (x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2}): x_{1} = y_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2} \\ or x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1}x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2} \end{cases}$$
Let  $(x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in E$ .  
If  $x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1} \& x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}$ , then  
 $\left(M_{\mu_{1}L} \boxdot M_{\mu_{2}L}\right)((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2}))$   
 $= min \left\{M_{\mu_{1}L}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2})\right\}$   
 $< min \left\{\frac{min\{M_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{1}L}(y_{1})\}, M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2})\}}{M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2})}\right\}$   
 $< min\{M_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{1}L}(y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2})\}$   
and

$$\min \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1L}} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (x_{1}, x_{2}), \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1L}} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\}$$
  
= 
$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_{1}), & M_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}) \}, \\ \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1L}}(y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2}) \} \\ = \min \{ M_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{1L}}(y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2}) \} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_1 L} \boxdot M_{\mu_2 L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)) \\ < \min \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_1 L} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2 L} \end{pmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_1 L} \boxdot M_{\sigma_2 L} \end{pmatrix} (y_1, y_2) \right\} \\ \text{Similarly it can be proved that} \\ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_1 U} \boxdot M_{\mu_2 U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)) \\ + \begin{pmatrix} (M_{\mu_1 U} \boxdot M_{\mu_2 U}) \end{pmatrix} ((x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)) \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$< min \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} (x_{1}, x_{2}), \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot M_{\sigma_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\} \left\{ N_{\mu_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}L} \right\} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) > max \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} (x_{1}, x_{2}), \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{1}L} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\} \left\{ N_{\mu_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\mu_{2}U} \right\} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) > max \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} (x_{1}, x_{2}), \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{1}U} \boxdot N_{\sigma_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} (y_{1}, y_{2}) \right\}$$

i.e.,  $G_1 \boxdot G_2$  is not a strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph, which is a contradiction. Hence the proof.

**Definition 3.2**For any vertex  $(x_1, x_2) \in V_1 \boxdot V_2$  in  $G_1 \boxdot G_2$ , degree of the vertex  $(x_1, x_2)$  is defined as an ordered pair  $\left( d_{G_1 \square G_2}(x_1, x_2), d_{G_1 \square G_2}(x_1, x_2) \right)$  where



Retrieval Number G6361058719/19©BEIESP

Published By:

& Sciences Publication

$$\begin{split} & d_{G_{1} \square G_{2}}^{-}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \\ & \left( \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \min\{M_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2})\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \min\{M_{\mu_{1L}}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2})\} \right) \\ & - \left( \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \max\{N_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2})\} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1}, x_{2} y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \max\{N_{\mu_{1L}}(x_{1}, y_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2})\} \\ \end{split} \right)$$

and

 $\begin{aligned} d_{G_{1}\square G_{2}}^{+}(x_{1}, x_{2}) &= \\ & \left( \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \min\{M_{\sigma_{1}U}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \min\{M_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\}\right) \\ &- \left( \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \max\{N_{\sigma_{1}U}(x_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in E_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \max\{N_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\}\right) \end{aligned}$ 

Proposition 3.3Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively where  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$  and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $E_1$ and  $E_2$  respectively. Let  $G_1 = (\sigma_1, \mu_1)$  and  $G_2 = (\sigma_2, \mu_2)$  and  $G = G_1 \boxdot G_2$ . Then the following equalities hold.

$$d_{G_{1} \square G_{2}}^{-}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}} M_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}) + \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \square V_{2}:\\ x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} M_{\mu_{1}L}(x_{1}, y_{1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-\left(\sum_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\in V_1\square V_2:\\x_1=y_1\in V_1, x_2y_2\notin E_2}} N_{\sigma_1L}(x_1) + \sum_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\in V_1\square V_2:\\x_1y_1\in E_1, x_2y_2\notin E_2}} N_{\mu_1L}(x_1,y_1)\right)$$
  
and  
$$d^+_{G_1\square G_2}(x_1,x_2) = \left(\sum_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\in V_1\square V_2:\\x_1=y_1\in V_1, x_2y_2\notin E_2}} M_{\sigma_1U}(x_1) + \sum_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\in V_1\square V_2:\\x_1y_1\in E_1, x_2y_2\notin E_2}} M_{\mu_1U}(x_1,y_1)\right)$$
$$-\left(\sum_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\in V_1\square V_2:\\x_1=y_1\in V_1, x_2y_2\notin E_2}} N_{\sigma_1U}(x_1) + \sum_{\substack{(y_1,y_2)\in V_1\square V_2:\\x_1y_1\in E_1, x_2y_2\notin E_2}} N_{\mu_1U}(x_1,y_1)\right)\right)$$

**Proposition 3.4**Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively where  $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$  and  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. Let  $G_1 = (\sigma_1, \mu_1)$  and  $G_2 = (\sigma_2, \mu_2)$  be complete interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and  $G = G_1 \boxdot G_2$ . Then  $d_{\overline{G_1} \boxdot G_2}(x_1, x_2) = 0$  and  $d_{\overline{G_1} \boxdot G_2}(x_1, x_2) = 0$ .

### IV. STAR PRODUCT ON INTERVAL-VALUED INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY GRAPHS

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively. Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. Then Star product  $G_1 \star G_2$  of the two strong interval -valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs  $G_1 = (\sigma_1, \mu_1)$  and  $G_2 = (\sigma_2, \mu_2)$  is defined as a pair  $(\sigma_1 \star \sigma_2, \mu_1 \star \mu_2)$  where  $\sigma_1 \star \sigma_2 = ([M_{\sigma_1 L} \star M_{\sigma_2 L}, M_{\sigma_1 U} \star M_{\sigma_2 U}], [N_{\sigma_1 L} \star N_{\sigma_2 L}, N\sigma 1U \star N\sigma 2U$  and  $\mu 1 \star \mu 2 = M \mu 1L \star M \mu 2L$ ,  $M \mu 1U \star M \mu 2U$ ,  $N \mu 1U \star N \mu 2L$ ,  $N \mu 1U \star N \mu 2U$  are interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets on  $V = V_1 \star V_2$  and  $E = E_1 \star E_2 = \{(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2): x_1 = y_1, x_2 y_2 \notin E_2 \text{ or } x_1 y_1 \in E1\& x 2y 2 \in E2$  respectively, which satisfy the following properties

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1L}} \star M_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (x, y) = \min\{M_{\sigma_{1L}}(x), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y)\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\sigma_{1U}} \star M_{\sigma_{2U}} \end{pmatrix} (x, y) = \min\{M_{\sigma_{1U}}(x), M_{\sigma_{2U}}(y)\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{1L}} \star N_{\sigma_{2L}} \end{pmatrix} (x, y) = \max\{N_{\sigma_{1L}}(x), N_{\sigma_{2L}}(y)\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\sigma_{1U}} \star N_{\sigma_{2U}} \end{pmatrix} (x, y) = \max\{N_{\sigma_{1U}}(x), N_{\sigma_{2U}}(y)\} \\ \in V_1 \star V_2 \end{pmatrix}$$



Published By: Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering & Sciences Publication

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1}L} \star M_{\mu_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \min\{M_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2})\} \\ (M_{\mu_{1}U} \star M_{\mu_{2}U}) ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \min\{M_{\sigma_{1U}}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2U}}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2U}}(y_{2})\} \\ (N_{\mu_{1}L} \star N_{\mu_{2}L}) ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \max\{N_{\sigma_{1L}}(x_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2L}}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2L}}(y_{2})\} \\ (N_{\mu_{1}U} \star N_{\mu_{2}U}) ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \max\{N_{\sigma_{1U}}(x_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2U}}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2U}}(y_{2})\} \\ if_{x_{1}} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1}L} \star M_{\mu_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \min \left\{ M_{\mu_{1}L}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\mu_{2}L}(x_{2}, y_{2}) \right\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} M_{\mu_{1}U} \star M_{\mu_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \min \left\{ M_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\mu_{2}U}(x_{2}, y_{2}) \right\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_{1}L} \star N_{\mu_{2}L} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \max \left\{ N_{\mu_{1}L}(x_{1}, y_{1}), N_{\mu_{2}L}(x_{2}, y_{2}) \right\} \\ \begin{pmatrix} N_{\mu_{1}U} \star N_{\mu_{2}U} \end{pmatrix} ((x_{1}, x_{2})(y_{1}, y_{2})) \\ = \max \left\{ N_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), N_{\mu_{2}U}(x_{2}, y_{2}) \right\} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$if x_1 y_1 \in E_1 \& x_2 y_2 \in E_2$$

**Proposition** 4.1 If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, then the Stardot Product of  $G_1$ and  $G_2$ ,  $G_1 \star G_2$  is also a strong interval -valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs.

**Proposition 4.2** If  $G_1 \star G_2$  is a strong interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy graph then at least  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  must be strong.

**Definition 4.2** For any vertex  $(x_1, x_2) \in V_1 \star V_2$  in  $G_1 \star G_2$ , degree of the vertex  $(x_1, x_2)$  is defined as an ordered pair  $\left(d_{G_1\star G_2}^-(x_1,x_2), d_{G_1\star G_2}^+(x_1,x_2)\right)$  where  $d_{G_1 \star G_2}^-(x_1, x_2)$  $\min\{M_{\sigma_{1}L}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}L}(y_{2})\}$  $\sum_{\substack{y_1, y_2 \in V_1 \star V_2:\\ z = z}} \min\{M_{\mu_1 L}(x_1, y_1), M_{\mu_2 L}(x_2, y_2)\}\right)$  $max\{N_{\sigma_1L}(x_1), N_{\sigma_2L}(x_2), N_{\sigma_2L}(y_2)\}$  $\sum_{\substack{(y_1, y_2) \in V_1 \star V_2: \\ P}} \max\{N_{\mu_1 L}(x_1, y_1), N_{\mu_2 L}(x_2, y_2)\}\right)$  $x_1y_1 \in E_1, x_2y_2 \in E$ 

and

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{G_{1}*G_{2}}^{+}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \star V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}} \min\{M_{\sigma_{1}U}(x_{1}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), M_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \star V_{2}:\\ x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \in E_{2}}} \min\{M_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), M_{\mu_{2}U}(x_{2}, y_{2})\} \right) \\ &- \left( \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \star V_{2}:\\ x_{1} = y_{1} \in V_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \max\{N_{\sigma_{1}U}(x_{1}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(x_{2}), N_{\sigma_{2}U}(y_{2})\} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(y_{1}, y_{2}) \in V_{1} \star V_{2}:\\ x_{1}y_{1} \in E_{1}, x_{2}y_{2} \notin E_{2}}} \max\{N_{\mu_{1}U}(x_{1}, y_{1}), N_{\mu_{2}U}(x_{2}, y_{2})\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, boxdot product and star product on interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and some properties of these products on strong interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy graphs are discussed. We have determined the degree of vertices of these product graphs under certain conditions. Our future plan is to find some other properties of these product graphs.

#### REFERENCES

- Akram M and Davvaz B, "Strong intuitionistic fuzzy graphs", Filomat, 1. 26(1), 2012, pp.177-196.
- 2. Akram M, Dudek W.A, "Interval-valued fuzzy graphs", Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 61, 2011, pp. 289-299.
- A. Mohamed Ismayil and A. Mohamed Ali, "On Strong Interval-3. Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Graph", Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems, 4. 2014. 161-168.
- 4. Atanassov K.T, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets and Systems", 20, 1986, 87-96.
- 5. Atanassov K and G. Gargov, "Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.31,1989, pp.343-349.
- 6. Atanassov K.T, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets: Theory, applications", Studies in fuzziness and soft computing, Heidelberg, New York, Physica-Verl., 1999.
- L AZadeh, "Fuzzy sets", Information and Control, 8, 1965, pp.338-353. 7. L. A. Zadeh, "The concept of a linguistic and application to 8.
- approximate reasoning ", Information Sciences, 8,1975, pp. 149-249. 9 Mishra S.N and Pal.A, "Product of Interval-Valued Intuitionistic fuzzy
- graph", 5, 2013, pp. 37-46.
- 10 Rosenfeld, "Fuzzy graphs", Fuzzy sets and their applications, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 77-95.
- ShovanDogra, "Different types of Product of Fuzzy Graphs", Progress in Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos, 3, 2015, pp.41-56.
- 12. Yeh R.T, Bang S.Y, "Fuzzy relations fuzzy graphs and their applications to clustering analysis, in: L.A. Zadeh, K.S. Fu, M. Shimura (Eds.)", Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications, Academic Press, 1975, pp. 125-149.



Published By:

& Sciences Publication